

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/653.640	08/31/00	FISHBURN		F-	300	3.703US1
— D21186		MMC2/0919	乛	EXAMINER		
CHWEGMAN, L		ESSNER & KLUTH		WILLIAMS, A		
.O. BOX 2938 INNEAPOLIS MN 55402				ART U	VIT_	PAPER NUMBER
				2826		
				DATE MAIL	ED:	
					09	7/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

.		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•	Office Action Summer	09/653,640	Fishburn						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
-	The MAIL INC. DATE: All .	Alexander O Williams	2826						
ľ	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-93</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8) Claim(s) 1-93 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<b>A</b>	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)								
]	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∆</b> □							
3)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)						
	Patent and Trademark Office  D-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 4						

Application/Control Number: 09/653,640 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 09/653640 Attorney's Docket #: SLWK00303.703US1

Filing Date: 8/31/00;

Applicant: Fishburn

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1 to 60, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in Class 257, subclass 754.
- II. Claims 79 to 93, drawn to a process of a semiconductor device, classified in Class 438, subclass 15+.
- III. Claims 61 to 78, drawn to a system of a semiconductor device, classified in Class 365, subclass 129+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different products or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the method of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different than that of the group II invention, for example, instead of etching, it can be performed by mechanical means.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).

Applicant is reminded of the notice published in the Official Gazette on March 26, 1996, "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in Light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)." If, in response to a requirement for election between a product and a process of making, Applicant elects claims to the product, and the product is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from, or otherwise include, all the limitations of the allowable product will be rejoined. Those process claims which do not depend from, or otherwise include, all the limitations of the allowable product will not be rejoined. Rejoined

lication/Control Number: 09/653,640

Jnit: 2826

ess claims will be fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR § 1.104 to 1.106. Process in some substitution of a patentable product claim be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection. Inder does not constitute a withdrawal of the requirement for restriction (but is a new edure authorized under the OG notice).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile mission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the Group 2800 Fax center located in al Plaza 4-5B15. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2800 Fax Center number 3) 308-7722 or 24. Only Papers related to GROUP 2800 APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE ED to the GROUP 2800 FAX CENTER.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the iner should be directed to *Examiner Alexander Williams* whose telephone number is (703) 863.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Group 2800 receptionist* whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Primary Patent Examiner Alexander O. Williams